

Does tea consumption during early pregnancy have an adverse effect on birth outcomes?

Lu, Jin-Hua; He, Jian-Rong; Shen , Song-Ying ; Wei, Xue-Ling; Chen, Nian-Nian; Yuan, Ming-Yang; Qiu, Lan; Lei, Wei-Dong ; Chen, Qiao-Zhu; Hu, Cui-Yue; Xia, Hui-Min; Bartington, Suzanne; Cheng, Kar; Lam, , King Bong Hubert; Xiu, Qiu

DOI:

[10.1111/birt.12285](https://doi.org/10.1111/birt.12285)

License:

Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Lu, J-H, He, J-R, Shen , S-Y, Wei, X-L, Chen, N-N, Yuan, M-Y, Qiu, L, Lei, W-D, Chen, Q-Z, Hu, C-Y, Xia, H-M, Bartington, S, Cheng, K, Lam, KBH & Xiu, Q 2017, 'Does tea consumption during early pregnancy have an adverse effect on birth outcomes?', *Birth*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 281-289. <https://doi.org/10.1111/birt.12285>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

"This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Lu J-H, He J-R, Shen S-Y, et al. Does tea consumption during early pregnancy have an adverse effect on birth outcomes? *Birth*. 2017;44:281-289., which has been published in final form at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/birt.12285>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving."

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Does tea consumption during early pregnancy have an adverse effect on birth outcomes?

Abstract

Background Tea, a common beverage, has been suggested to exhibit a number of health benefits. However, one of its active ingredients, caffeine, has been associated with preterm birth and low birthweight. We investigated whether tea consumption during early pregnancy is associated with an increased risk of preterm birth and abnormal foetal growth.

Methods A total of 8775 pregnant women were included from the Born in Guangzhou Cohort Study. Tea consumption (type, frequency and strength) during their first trimester and social and demographic factors were obtained via questionnaires administered during pregnancy. Information on birth outcomes and complications during pregnancy was obtained from hospital medical records.

Results Overall habitual tea drinking (≥ 1 serving/week) prevalence among pregnant women was low, at 16%. After adjustment for potential confounding factors (e.g. maternal age, educational level, monthly income) tea drinking during early pregnancy was not associated with an increased risk of preterm birth, small or large for gestational age ($p > 0.05$).

Conclusions We did not identify a consistent association between frequency of tea consumption or tea strength and adverse birth outcomes among Chinese pregnant women with low tea consumption. Our findings suggest that occasional tea drinking during pregnancy is not associated with increased risk of preterm birth or abnormal foetal growth. Given the high overall number of annual births in China, our findings have important public health significance.

Keywords: Tea: Preterm birth: Abnormal foetal growth: Chinese: Birth cohort

Introduction

Preterm birth and abnormal foetal growth (including small for gestational age [SGA] and large for gestational age [LGA]) are important predictors of neonatal morbidity and mortality as well as adverse health outcomes in childhood and adulthood (1-3). A recent study in seven regions in China suggests a preterm birth rate of 7.1% in 2011 (4), and data from Guangzhou, the largest city in southern China, indicate the prevalence of SGA and LGA being 8.6% and 8.5%, respectively (5). Whilst the rates are not particularly high compared to those of south Asia (13.3%) and sub-Saharan Africa (12.3%) (6), given the large absolute number of live births in China (12% of global total in 2010) (6), identification of risk factors associated with preterm birth and abnormal foetal growth is of particular public health importance.

Tea is a beverage prepared from the leaves of the plant *Camellia sinensis*, and contains a number of biologically active constituents, including polyphenols (e.g. catechins) and alkaloids (e.g. caffeine). Tea is broadly classified into green, oolong and black tea according to the degree of enzyme-mediated oxidation (known as fermentation) (7).

There has been some conflicting evidence on the health benefits of tea⁽⁸⁻¹⁰⁾, but much less is known about the potential effects of tea drinking during pregnancy on foetal growth and development. In vivo studies in rats suggested black tea(11) or green tea catechin(12) had no effect on the weight of pups, but to our knowledge, no human studies have investigated the effects of tea on preterm birth and birthweight. On the other hand, reports have suggested that one of the active ingredients in tea, caffeine, is negatively associated with birthweight (13-17). This relationship was confirmed in a recent meta-analysis (18), although there was no association with preterm birth (18, 19). It should be noted, however, that tea consumption has a lesser contribution than coffee for total caffeine intake in the Western populations studied (13-17), whereas in China tea remains the most popular beverage (20).

The current Chinese dietary guidelines (21) do not comment on the frequency of intake and the type of tea. As a substantial number of tea drinkers in China are of child-bearing age, clarifying the potential association of tea consumption on gestational age and birthweight is of public health importance. Using data from a large prospective birth cohort in China, we investigated the effect of tea drinking during early pregnancy on foetal growth and preterm birth.

Methods

Recruitment

The Born in Guangzhou Cohort Study (BIGCS) is an ongoing prospective study conducted by the Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center (GWCMC), China, which commenced in February 2012. Details of the recruitment can be found elsewhere (22). Briefly, all pregnant women residing within Guangzhou who attended their first routine antenatal examination (usually around week 16) at two campuses of GWCMC, and who intended to remain in Guangzhou with their child for ≥ 3 years were invited to participate in BIGCS. At baseline recruitment, demographic and socio-economic information were obtained via self-completed questionnaires, as well as data on workplace and home exposures, lifestyle, medical histories, and health status before and during pregnancy. BIGCS has received approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee of GWCMC. All participants gave written informed consent.

For this analysis, data from pregnant women recruited between February 2012 and December 2014 ($n = 10277$; 73.6% of those eligible) were used. We excluded those who dropped out before delivery ($n = 435$), or terminated their pregnancies or had stillbirths ($n = 111$), or had multiple gestation ($n = 212$), or who had missing delivery data ($n = 155$), resulting in 9364 singleton births in this report (Figure 1).

73 Tea consumption

74 Participants were asked at baseline (around 16 weeks of gestation) whether they regularly
 75 consumed tea during pregnancy. Subsequently, participants were asked to specify the type of
 76 tea they drank (green, oolong, black [known as “red tea” in China], and dark [a variety of
 77 post-fermented tea in China]), how many servings they consumed each type in a typical week
 78 (one serving was defined as 150 mL of tea), and the strength of tea (defined subjectively:
 79 weak, moderate, strong) they preferred. We grouped the types of teas into green
 80 (unfermented), oolong (semi-fermented) and black and dark tea (fermented) teas. Frequency
 81 was categorised into <1, 1–3, and >3 servings/week, and tea strength was dichotomised into
 82 weak and moderate/strong.

83

84 Birth outcomes

85 Birthweight among other birth outcomes (gestational age, parity, mode of delivery, and foetal
 86 sex) were obtained from the Guangzhou Perinatal Health Care and Delivery Surveillance
 87 System which records all births within the municipality. Gestational age at birth was
 88 determined based on ultrasound examination within the first- or early second-trimester.
 89 Preterm birth was defined as delivery before 37 weeks gestation. Those births with gestational
 90 age 37 weeks or above were considered as term. SGA and LGA were defined as a gestational
 91 age-adjusted birthweight below the 10th and above the 90th percentile, respectively, derived
 92 from a local population-based birthweight reference (23). The remaining births were
 93 considered as appropriate for gestational age (AGA).

94

95 Covariates

96 From the questionnaire, we derived maternal age (continuous), highest education level and
 97 maternal monthly income. Both education level and monthly income category were used as

proxies for socio-economic status. Maternal smoking three months before pregnancy and during pregnancy (number of cigarettes per day) was assessed. Participants were considered to have environmental tobacco smoke exposure if they were either exposed to second-hand smoke at home or at work during early pregnancy. Folic acid supplement use was recorded in the questionnaire. Pre-pregnancy maternal height (cm) and weight (kg) were self-reported at recruitment, from which body mass index (BMI; kg/m²) was derived. Information on complications during the current pregnancy, including pre-eclampsia, pregnancy-induced hypertension, pre-pregnancy hypertension, gestational diabetes and pre-pregnancy diabetes, was obtained from medical records after delivery.

Statistical analysis

Differences in characteristics by frequency of tea consumption during early pregnancy were evaluated using Student's t-test, χ^2 test or Mann-Whitney U test where appropriate. Logistic regression models were constructed in order to assess the relationship between tea consumption during early pregnancy (frequency and strength) and birth outcomes, adjusting for known determinants of foetal growth (maternal age, complications during pregnancy, parity, and foetal sex), as well as potential confounders relating to tea consumption (socio-economic status, exposure to tobacco smoke, and folic acid supplement use) based on evidence from the literature. We also explored the dose-response relationship between tea consumption and risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes, by including frequency of tea drinking as continuous variable into the regression models. We examined the effects of individual types of tea in two ways: (i) we included additional variables indicating other types of tea to take into account the total tea intake, and (ii) we restricted the analysis to those who only consumed one type of tea to avoid contamination bias. We compared distributions of Z-score

of birthweight and gestational age at birth (both continuous) using the Kruskal-Wallis test, among women with different levels of tea consumption (<1, 1–3, and >3 servings/week).

We also examined whether any relationships were modified by maternal pre-pregnancy BMI by including interaction terms in the models. Although previous studies have suggested that caffeine intake is associated with adverse birth outcomes (13-16), the prevalence of habitual coffee drinking (≥ 1 serving/week) was extremely low in this cohort (0.9%), and hence we did not include coffee consumption as a confounding factor. However, we conducted a sensitivity analysis restricting those included in the analyses to non-coffee drinking participants. All analyses were performed using SAS statistical software version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). A two-tailed p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant in all statistical analyses.

Results

Of the 9364 eligible singleton pregnancies, 8775 (93.7%) had available information on tea consumption during early pregnancy and were included in the analysis. Those who were excluded were more likely to have a higher educational level and income compared to those included in the final analyses (Supplementary Table 1). Table 1 presents the characteristics of pregnant women at enrolment and selected pregnancy outcomes. In this sample, 1420 women (16.2%) reported drinking tea at least once per week (median 3, interquartile range 2-5) during early pregnancy. Most of the tea-drinking participants (71.8%) consumed only one type of tea (median 2 servings/week), 22.7% two types (4 servings/week), and 4.2% three types (6 servings/week). Those who consumed tea habitually (at least 1 serving per week) were more likely to be slightly older, have a higher educational level, be exposed to second hand tobacco smoke (34.8 vs. 29.7%), have a higher mean (\pm SD) pre-pregnancy BMI (20.6 ± 2.8 vs. 20.3 ± 2.6 kg/m²) and to be multiparous (14.9 vs. 10.8%) compared to those mothers

who did not consume tea regularly. Overall, there were no significant difference in birthweight and the proportions of SGA and LGA between children whose mothers did or did not consume tea regularly at baseline.

Results of logistic regression of birth outcomes on tea consumption during early pregnancy are presented in Table 2. There was no association between tea consumption during early pregnancy and the birth outcomes we measured (preterm birth, SGA and LGA), after adjustment for potential confounding factors (maternal age, educational level, monthly income, exposure to environmental tobacco smoke and folic intake during early pregnancy, pre-pregnancy BMI, parity, complications during pregnancy and foetal sex). Among the three types of tea examined, frequent green tea consumption was significantly associated with LGA (fully adjusted OR = 1.67; 95% CI, 1.01, 2.75; $p=0.045$), but non-significant risk estimates were found for preterm birth (0.54; 0.18, 1.63) and SGA (0.57; 0.21, 1.51). We did not observe any statistically meaningful relationship between oolong tea and dark/black tea consumption and birth outcomes. When we included frequency of tea drinking as continuous variable into the regression models, there was also no apparent dose-response relationship between tea consumption and risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes. When we used Z-score of birthweight instead of the binary SGA and LGA variables, we did not see a positive association between green tea consumption and birthweight, although there was a decreasing trend in gestational age at birth among Oolong tea-drinking women (Supplementary Table 2).

Among the regular tea drinkers at baseline, 22.7% and 4.2% consumed two and three or more types of tea, respectively. To avoid potential attenuation of observed effect sizes due to consumption of multiple tea types, we repeated the analysis by restricting to those who reported to drink only one specific type. Overall, the risk estimates for preterm birth, SGA, and LGA were very similar to those obtained from the analysis using the full sample although the confidence intervals were slightly wider (Supplementary Table 3).

We also investigated the possible effects of tea strength (Table 3). Consumption of weak Oolong tea was associated with reduced risk of preterm birth (OR: 0.21; 95% CI: 0.06, 0.76). We did not find significant association between adverse birth outcomes and strength of green or dark/black tea in multivariate analysis.

As tea drinking may be favoured by women who intend to lose weight, we also tested for potential interaction between pre-pregnancy BMI and tea consumption by including an interaction term in the regression model. This, however, did not change the risk estimates (results not shown). Restricting the analysis to non-coffee drinking participants also did not alter the conclusion (results not shown).

Discussion

In a contemporary sample of Chinese pregnant women with low consumption of tea, we did not find evidence suggesting an adverse effect of tea consumption on gestational age or birthweight of offspring after adjusting for potential confounding factors. Although higher consumption of unfermented tea (green tea) was associated with an increased risk of LGA, this finding was of borderline significance, and may be a result of multiple comparisons. As the official Chinese dietary guidelines do not comment on tea intake during gestation (21), pregnant women are likely to consult internet-based information for dietary advice, which often delivers mixed messages without any scientific evidence, and many women might opt to avoid tea drinking altogether. Our findings do not support the need for pregnant women to abstain from tea drinking during early pregnancy, at least when it is consumed at a low to moderate level.

To our knowledge, our study is the first to investigate the effects of tea consumption on birthweight in an Asian setting with a large prospective birth cohort study. Surprisingly, we observed a low prevalence (only 16%) of tea drinking in the study population. In fact, results

from the China Health and Nutrition Survey (CHNS) suggest the prevalence of tea drinking had fallen by 10% between 1993 and 2009 (from 44% to 34%), and that the most prominent decline has occurred in the 18–29 years age group (by about 20%) (20). Another study of 5133 adults from the three largest Chinese cities (Beijing, Shanghai, and Guangzhou) also found a lower prevalence of tea drinking among those 20–29 years (27%) compared to 30–39 years (46%) (24). These figures support our observation that tea drinking is less popular among the younger generation (particularly those of child-bearing age).

Whilst previous studies have attempted to assess the effect of tea consumption in relation to birthweight (13–17), the focus was generally on caffeine intake and not the beverage per se. A small case-control study of 155 women in Beijing, China found an increased risk of SGA associated with tea consumption at least twice a week during pregnancy (25). Potential recall bias and the lack of adjustment for SGA risk factors (e.g., complications during pregnancy, parity) in the analyses may explain the inconsistency with our own findings.

To date there has been no definitive conclusion on whether tea consumption in pregnant women could affect offspring. **It is proposed** that excessive caffeine intake might be teratogenic (26). However, a recent review by Brent et al. concluded that results from both epidemiological and animal studies suggest caffeine intake is “unlikely to have reproductive and developmental effects” (27). On the other hand, accumulating findings from animal and human studies have pointed to a possible weight reduction effect by tea. Green tea extracts or (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG), one of the tea catechins, have been shown to reduce weight gain, blood glucose or insulin levels in obese/diabetic animals or those on high-fat diets (28). In humans, a number of small randomised-controlled trials have been conducted, as summarised by two recent meta-analyses (29, 30). Most of the studies included administered green tea or green tea extracts with caffeine in normal weight or overweight individuals and showed weight reduction compared with caffeine-free controls, hence leading to a question of

whether weight loss was an effect of caffeine in tea, or due to a combined effect of caffeine and tea catechins. A meta-analysis demonstrated that while both caffeine alone and the combination of catechins and caffeine increased daily energy expenditure, only the latter could significantly increase fat oxidation (31). A recent Cochrane review further supported this concept, concluding that green tea preparations may induce little loss of weight in obese or overweight adults (9). As maternal glucose and lipid metabolism during pregnancy is highly relevant to the fetal nutrient supply (32, 33), it is entirely plausible that tea (or catechins in combination with caffeine) could have an adverse impact on birthweight. Nevertheless, after adjusting for confounders we did not find compelling evidence that tea consumption was associated with birthweight Z-score, SGA or LGA.

Results from analyses using tea strength as an exposure measure are somewhat inconsistent with those using the frequency of consumption. Firstly it should be noted that the strength of tea is a subjective indicator in this study and could not precisely represent the dose of tea. Additionally, longer steeping time may not only strengthen the flavour, but may also encourage the release of other components as well as contaminants in tea leaves, such as heavy metals (34). This may introduce toxic effects in addition to the potential weight reducing capacity of catechins and caffeine. For example, a recent case-control study in China found an association between drinking strong tea and congenital birth defect (35).

Strengths of this study include the prospective design, which to some extent reduced recall bias. Our population has a low incidence of coffee drinking and this may avoid potential for confounding due to the high caffeine content of coffee. There are also some limitations that should be considered. Tea consumption was investigated only at baseline during early gestation. It is possible that pregnant women could have changed their exposure during later stages of pregnancy, leading to misclassification and regression dilution bias. Despite the relatively large sample size, only 16% of our sample reported drinking tea at least once a

week. The small number of frequent tea drinkers renders the estimates unstable with wide confidence intervals, and we might not have sufficient statistical power to detect a true association. Nevertheless, the opposing directions in the risk estimates for SGA and LGA lend credibility to the findings. Furthermore, tea drinking in China is a social norm and any misclassification is most likely random (rather than systematic or deliberate under- or over-reporting), diluting the effect size towards the null. Although we have controlled for a range of confounders, we acknowledge the possibility of residual and unmeasured confounding due to other potentially relevant variables such as sleep duration and diet during pregnancy. We were unable to specify whether the observed effect was due to components unique to tea, caffeine in tea or caffeine from other sources such as coffee, and to a lesser extent soft drinks and pain killers. However, the prevalence of regular coffee drinking in our sample was extremely low, and given the lower caffeine content in tea (36), we hypothesised that tea drinking should be responsible for the majority of caffeine (and catechin) intake, and therefore our risk estimates were less likely to be confounded by other dietary factors.

Conclusions

Our results do not suggest an adverse effect of tea consumption at a low to moderate level during early pregnancy on preterm birth or abnormal foetal growth among Chinese women. Given the high overall number of annual births (~17 million in 2014) and the relatively low prevalence of tea drinking among pregnant women in China, our findings have important public health significance in the context of other potential health benefits of tea. Future studies are warranted to confirm our findings and to re-examine the dietary guidelines, which currently do not provide guidance to pregnant women about tea drinking.

References

1. Saigal S, Doyle LW. An overview of mortality and sequelae of preterm birth from infancy to adulthood. *Lancet* (London, England). 2008;371(9608):261–9.
2. Johnson RC, Schoeni RF. Early-Life Origins of Adult Disease: National Longitudinal Population-Based Study of the United States. *American journal of public health*. 2011;101(12):2317-24.
3. Schellong K, Schulz S, Harder T, Plagemann A. Birth weight and long-term overweight risk: systematic review and a meta-analysis including 643,902 persons from 66 studies and 26 countries globally. *PloS one*. 2012;7(10):e47776.
4. Liying Z, Xin W, Yan R, Guanghui L, Yi C, Weiyuan Z. Preterm birth and neonatal mortality in China in 2011. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*. 2014;127(3):243–7.
5. Guo Y, Liu Y, He JR, Xia XY, Mo WJ, Wang P, et al. Changes in birth weight between 2002 and 2012 in Guangzhou, China. *PloS one*. 2014;9(12):e115703-e.
6. Blencowe H, Cousens S, Oestergaard MZ, Chou D, Moller AB, Narwal R, et al. National, regional, and worldwide estimates of preterm birth rates in the year 2010 with time trends since 1990 for selected countries: a systematic analysis and implications. *Lancet* (London, England). 2012;379(9832):2162-72. Epub 2012/06/12.
7. Yang CS, Hong J. Prevention of Chronic Diseases by Tea: Possible Mechanisms and Human Relevance. *Annual Review of Nutrition*. 2013;33(3):161-81.
8. Boehm K, Borrelli F, Ernst E, Habacher G, Hung SK, Milazzo S, et al. Green tea (*Camellia sinensis*) for the prevention of cancer. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2009(3):CD005004. Epub 2009/07/10.

- 294 9. Jurgens TM, Whelan AM, Kirk S, Foy E. Green tea for weight loss and weight
 295 maintenance in overweight or obese adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*.
 296 2012;12(12):331-3.
- 297 10. Hartley L, Flowers N, Clarke A, Stranges S, Hooper L, Rees K. Green and black tea for
 298 the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic*
 299 *Reviews*. 2013;6(6):1073-.
- 300 11. Ratnasooriya WD, Fernando TS. Effects of Sri Lankan black tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) on
 301 pregnancy of rats. *Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology*. 2009;105(6):361-5.
- 302 12. Morita O, Knapp JF, Tamaki Y, Stump DG, Moore JS, Nemec MD. Effects of green tea
 303 catechin on embryo/fetal development in rats. *Food & Chemical Toxicology*.
 304 2009;47(6):1296–303.
- 305 13. IS S, CG V, S H, JB C. Caffeine intake and low birth weight: a population-based case-
 306 control study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 1998;147(7):620-7.
- 307 14. Grosso LM, Rosenberg KD, Belanger K, Saftlas AF, Leaderer B, Bracken MB. Maternal
 308 caffeine intake and intrauterine growth retardation. *Epidemiology*. 2001;12(4):447-55.
 309 Epub 2001/06/29.
- 310 15. Bakker R, Steegers EA, Obradov A, Raat H, Hofman A, Jaddoe VW. Maternal caffeine
 311 intake from coffee and tea, fetal growth, and the risks of adverse birth outcomes: the
 312 Generation R Study. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2010;91(6):1691-8. Epub
 313 2010/04/30.
- 314 16. Sengpiel V, Elind E, Bacelis J, Nilsson S, Grove J, Myhre R, et al. Maternal caffeine
 315 intake during pregnancy is associated with birth weight but not with gestational length:
 316 results from a large prospective observational cohort study. *BMC medicine*.
 317 2013;11(1):42.

- 318 17. Hoyt AT, Marilyn B, Sandra R, Paul R, Charlotte S. Maternal Caffeine Consumption and
 319 Small for Gestational Age Births: Results from a Population-Based Case–Control Study.
 320 Maternal & Child Health Journal. 2014;18(6):1540-51.
- 321 18. Greenwood DC, Thatcher NJ, Ye J, Garrard L, Keogh G, King LG, et al. Caffeine intake
 322 during pregnancy and adverse birth outcomes: a systematic review and dose-response
 323 meta-analysis. European journal of epidemiology. 2014;29(10):725-34. Epub 2014/09/03.
- 324 19. Maslova E, Bhattacharya S, Lin S-W, Michels KB. Caffeine consumption during
 325 pregnancy and risk of preterm birth: a meta-analysis. The American journal of clinical
 326 nutrition. 2010;92(5):1120-32.
- 327 20. Zhang B, Wen-Wen DU, Wang HJ, Chang SU, Zhang JG, Zhai FY. Tea and Coffee
 328 Consumption Status and Trend Among 18 to 49 Years Old Adults in 9 Provinces of China.
 329 Food & Nutrition in China. 2011.
- 330 21. Ge K. The transition of Chinese dietary guidelines and food guide pagoda. Asia Pacific
 331 journal of clinical nutrition. 2011;20(3):439-46. Epub 2011/08/24.
- 332 22. He JR, Yuan MY, Chen NN, Lu JH, Hu CY, Mai WB, et al. Maternal dietary patterns and
 333 gestational diabetes mellitus: a large prospective cohort study in China. British Journal of
 334 Nutrition. 2015;113(8):1-9.
- 335 23. Jian-Rong H, Hui-Min X, Yu L, Xiao-Yan X, Wei-Jian M, Ping W, et al. A new
 336 birthweight reference in Guangzhou, southern China, and its comparison with the global
 337 reference. Archives of disease in childhood. 2014;99:1091-7.
- 338 24. Dong Y, Zhang FD, Wang T, Huan-Ling YU, Ling WH, Cai MQ. Tea Drinking Habits
 339 among Residents in Beijing, Shanghai, and Guangzhou. Journal of Environmental &
 340 Occupational Medicine. 2012.
- 341 25. Han J, Gan D, Zhai G, Shi Y. [A case-control study of risk factors of low birth weight at
 342 term]. Wei sheng yan jiu= Journal of hygiene research. 2004;33(4):483-5.

- 343 26. Executive RCoPoIHS. Clinical practice guideline No.27: Nutrition for pregnancy. 2013;
344 Available from: http://www.rcpi.ie/content/docs/000001/1180_5_media.pdf.
- 345 27. Brent RL, Christian MS, Diener RM. Evaluation of the reproductive and developmental
346 risks of caffeine. Birth defects research Part B, Developmental and reproductive
347 toxicology. 2011;92(2):152-87. Epub 2011/03/04.
- 348 28. Sae-Tan S, Grove KA, Lambert JD. Weight control and prevention of metabolic
349 syndrome by green tea. Pharmacological Research. 2010;64(2):146–54.
- 350 29. Hursel R, Viechtbauer W, Westerterp-Plantenga MS. The effects of green tea on weight
351 loss and weight maintenance: a meta-analysis. International Journal of Obesity.
352 2009;33(9):956–61.
- 353 30. Phung OJ, Baker WL, Matthews LJ, Michael L, Alicia T, Coleman CI. Effect of green tea
354 catechins with or without caffeine on anthropometric measures: a systematic review and
355 meta-analysis. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2010;91(1):73-81.
- 356 31. Hursel R, Viechtbauer W, Dulloo AG, Tremblay A, Tappy L, Rumpler W, et al. The
357 effects of catechin rich teas and caffeine on energy expenditure and fat oxidation: a meta-
358 analysis. Obesity Reviews An Official Journal of the International Association for the
359 Study of Obesity. 2011;12(7):e573–e81.
- 360 32. Beyerlein A, Schiessl B, Lack N, von Kries R. Associations of gestational weight loss
361 with birth-related outcome: a retrospective cohort study. BJOG : an international journal
362 of obstetrics and gynaecology. 2011;118(1):55-61. Epub 2010/11/09.
- 363 33. Catalano PM, Mele L, Landon MB, Ramin SM, Reddy UM, Casey B, et al. Inadequate
364 weight gain in overweight and obese pregnant women: what is the effect on fetal growth?
365 American journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2014;211(2):137 e1-7. Epub 2014/02/18.
- 366 34. Schwalfenberg G, Genuis SJ, Rodushkin I. The Benefits and Risks of Consuming Brewed
367 Tea: Beware of Toxic Element Contamination. Journal of Toxicology. 2013;2013.

- 368 35. Yang W, Zeng L, Cheng Y, Chen Z, Wang X, Li X, et al. The effects of periconceptional
369 risk factor exposure and micronutrient supplementation on birth defects in shaanxi
370 province in Western china. PloS one. 2012;7(12):83-.
- 371 36. Chin JM, Merves ML, Goldberger BA, Sampson-Cone A, Cone EJ. Caffeine content of
372 brewed teas. Journal of analytical toxicology. 2008;32(8):702-4. Epub 2008/11/15.

373 Table 1 Characteristics of the mothers and their children by frequency of tea drinking at
 374 enrollment in the Born in Guangzhou Cohort Study, 2012-2014 (n=8775)

	Weekly tea consumption		<i>P</i> -value
	<1 serving	≥ 1 serving	
	<i>Mean ± SD or No. (%)</i>	<i>Mean ± SD or No. (%)</i>	
n	7355	1420	
<u><i>Demographic and lifestyle</i></u>			
Maternal age at enrollment (years)	28.8 ± 3.3	29.1 ± 3.5	<0.01
Educational level			
Middle school or below	824 (11.2)	117 (8.2)	
College	1904 (25.9)	333 (23.5)	
Undergraduate	3803 (51.7)	804 (56.6)	
Postgraduate	824 (11.2)	166 (11.7)	<0.01
Monthly income (Yuan [*])			
≤1500	733 (10.2)	143 (10.4)	
1501-4500	2365 (33.0)	414 (30.0)	
4501-9000	2967 (41.3)	591 (42.8)	
≥9001	1111 (15.5)	234 (16.9)	0.15
Missing	179	38	
Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m ²)	20.3 ± 2.6	20.6 ± 2.8	<0.01
Missing	121	21	
Environmental tobacco smoke exposure in early pregnancy			
Missing	6	5	
Folic acid intake in early pregnancy	6721 (91.5)	1300 (91.7)	0.79

<i>Missing</i>	7	2	
<u><i>Pregnancy-related</i></u>			
Parity			
Primiparous	6562 (89.2)	1208 (85.1)	
Multiparous	791 (10.8)	212 (14.9)	<0.01
<i>Missing</i>	2	0	
Mode of delivery			
Vaginal delivery	4805 (65.5)	888 (62.8)	
Caesarean section	2535 (34.5)	527 (37.2)	0.05
<i>Missing</i>	15	5	
Gestational age at birth (weeks)			
Median [25 th , 75 th percentile]	39 [38, 40]	39 [38, 40]	0.47
Preterm birth	364 (5.0)	65 (4.6)	0.55
<i>Missing</i>	41	6	
Birthweight (g)			
	3188 ± 432	3187 ± 422	0.95
<i>Missing</i>	27	5	
Birthweight for gestational age			
SGA	528 (7.2)	96 (6.8)	
AGA	5995 (81.8)	1170 (82.7)	
LGA	791 (10.8)	148 (10.5)	0.77
<i>Missing</i>	41	6	

Data presented as mean ± SD or n (%) unless otherwise specified.

AGA: appropriate for gestational age; BMI: body mass index; LGA: large for gestational age;

SGA: small for gestational age.

376 Table 2 Relationship between frequency of tea drinking and birth outcomes in the Born in Guangzhou Cohort Study, 2012-2014 (n=8775)

Weekly tea consumption (serving/week)	Preterm birth			SGA			LGA		
	n	OR (95% CI)		N	OR (95% CI)		n	OR (95% CI)	
	(Preterm /term)	Crude	Adjusted *	(SGA /AGA)	Crude	Adjusted *	(LGA /AGA)	Crude	Adjusted *
Any tea									
<1	364/6965	1.00 (Ref.)	1.00 (Ref.)	541/5995	1.00 (Ref.)	1.00 (Ref.)	791/5995	1.00 (Ref.)	1.00 (Ref.)
1-3	36/799	0.86 (0.61, 1.22)	0.86 (0.59, 1.25)	53/697	0.86 (0.64, 1.16)	0.94 (0.70, 1.28)	84/697	0.91 (0.72, 1.16)	0.88 (0.68, 1.13)
>3	27/526	0.98 (0.66, 1.47)	0.88 (0.57, 1.38)	40/451	1.01 (0.72, 1.41)	1.07 (0.75, 1.53)	62/451	1.04 (0.79, 1.37)	0.97 (0.72, 1.30)
<i>p</i> for trend		0.65	0.41		0.67	0.90		0.92	0.51
Green tea									
1-3	18/363	0.95 (0.58, 1.54)	0.80 (0.44, 1.45)	17/328	0.59 (0.36, 0.97)	0.59 (0.33, 1.05)	36/328	0.83 (0.59, 1.18)	0.89 (0.60, 1.34)
>3	5/132	0.73 (0.30, 1.78)	0.54 (0.18, 1.63)	6/108	0.63 (0.28, 1.44)	0.57 (0.21, 1.51)	23/108	1.61 (1.02, 2.55)	1.67 (1.01, 2.75)
<i>p</i> for trend		0.50	0.23		0.03	0.07		0.34	0.19
Oolong tea									
1-3	13/410	0.61 (0.35, 1.06)	0.61 (0.33, 1.14)	28/347	0.92 (0.62, 1.36)	0.92 (0.58, 1.47)	47/347	1.03 (0.75, 1.41)	0.97 (0.66, 1.41)

>3	12/136	1.69 (0.93, 3.08)	1.30 (0.58, 2.89)	14/122	1.30 (0.74, 2.28)	1.38 (0.71, 2.66)	12/122	0.75 (0.41, 1.36)	0.63 (0.29, 1.36)
<i>p</i> for trend		0.81	0.73		0.66	0.59		0.53	0.35
Dark/black tea									
1-3	28/505	1.06 (0.72, 1.58)	0.98 (0.62, 1.55)	41/448	1.04 (0.75, 1.45)	1.20 (0.82, 1.76)	44/448	0.75 (0.54, 1.02)	0.82 (0.58, 1.16)
>3	9/182	0.95 (0.48, 1.86)	1.03 (0.49, 2.13)	16/156	1.17 (0.69, 1.96)	1.61 (0.92, 2.80)	19/156	0.92 (0.57, 1.50)	0.75 (0.41, 1.35)
<i>p</i> for trend		0.95	0.99		0.57	0.07		0.17	0.18

377 AGA: appropriate for gestational age; CI: confidence interval; SGA: small for gestational age; LGA: large for gestational age; OR: odds ratio.

378 *: Model adjusted for maternal age, educational level, monthly income, exposure to environmental tobacco smoke and folic intake during early
379 pregnancy, pre-pregnancy BMI, previous history of complications during pregnancy, parity, sex of offspring, and where appropriate, frequency of
380 other types of tea consumed.

381

382 Table 3 Relationship between strength of tea and birth outcomes in the Born in Guangzhou Cohort Study, 2012-2014 (n=8775)

Strength of tea	Preterm birth			SGA			LGA		
	n	OR (95% CI)		n	OR (95% CI)		n	OR (95% CI)	
	(Preterm	Crude	Adjusted *	(SGA	Crude	Adjusted *	(LGA	Crude	Adjusted *
	/term)			/AGA)			/AGA)		
Non-habitual drinkers (<1 serving/week)	364/6965	1.00 (Ref.)	1.00 (Ref.)	511/5659	1.00 (Ref.)	1.00 (Ref.)	760/5659	1.00 (Ref.)	1.00 (Ref.)
Green tea									
Weak	6/156	0.74 (0.32, 1.68)	0.89 (0.32, 2.50)	9/137	0.75 (0.38, 1.47)	0.89 (0.36, 2.17)	16/137	0.89 (0.53, 1.49)	0.76 (0.39, 1.49)
Moderate/strong	16/336	0.91 (0.55, 1.52)	0.89 (0.42, 1.90)	14/295	0.54 (0.31, 0.93)	0.53 (0.24, 1.13)	43/295	1.11 (0.80, 1.53)	1.02 (0.63, 1.63)
<i>p</i> for trend		0.58	0.77		0.02	0.10		0.67	0.91
Oolong tea									
Weak	5/204	0.47 (0.19, 1.15)	0.21 (0.06, 0.76)	17/170	1.14 (0.68, 1.88)	1.07 (0.53, 2.17)	22/170	0.98 (0.63, 1.54)	0.85 (0.44, 1.66)
Moderate/strong	19/340	1.07 (0.67, 1.72)	0.79 (0.40, 1.58)	25/296	0.96 (0.63, 1.46)	0.88 (0.49, 1.59)	37/296	0.95 (0.67, 1.34)	1.09 (0.66, 1.79)
<i>p</i> for trend		0.76	0.64		0.98	0.66		0.76	0.71


Dark/black tea									
Weak	14/313	0.86 (0.50, 1.48)	0.87 (0.43, 1.76)	23/272	0.96 (0.62, 1.48)	1.02 (0.58, 1.81)	32/272	0.89 (0.61, 1.30)	1.05 (0.61, 1.80)
Moderate/strong	22/372	1.13 (0.73, 1.76)	1.04 (0.57, 1.89)	34/329	1.17 (0.82, 1.69)	1.12 (0.69, 1.84)	31/329	0.72 (0.49, 1.04)	0.84 (0.51, 1.38)
<i>p</i> for trend		0.78	0.92		0.48	0.65		0.07	0.49

383 AGA: appropriate for gestational age; CI: confidence interval; SGA: small for gestational age; LGA: large for gestational age; OR: odds ratio.

384 *: Model adjusted for maternal age, educational level, monthly income, exposure to environmental tobacco smoke and folic intake during early
385 pregnancy, pre-pregnancy BMI, previous history of complications during pregnancy, parity, sex of offspring, and total tea consumption frequency.

386

387



389 Figure legends

390 Figure 1 Flowchart of participant recruitment in the Born in Guangzhou Cohort Study, 2012-
391 2014 (n=8775).